arXiv:1511.05681v3 [math.CO] 6 Apr 2016

PREIMAGES UNDER THE STACK-SORTING ALGORITHM

COLIN DEFANT

University of Florida
1400 Stadium Rd.
Gainesville, FL 32611 United States

Abstract. We use a method for determining the number of preimages of any permutation under the stack-sorting map in order to obtain recursive upper bounds for the numbers $W_t(n)$ and $W_t(n,k)$ of t-stack sortable permutations of length n and t-stack sortable permutations of length n with exactly k descents. From these bounds, we are able to significantly improve the best known upper bounds for $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_t(n)}$ when $t = 3$ and $t = 4$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05A05; 05A15; 05A16

Keywords: Stack-sorting; preimage; permutation; descent

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we let S_n denote the permutations of the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Recall that a *descent* of a permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n$ is an index i such that $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ (we do not include n as a descent). If i is a descent of σ, then the entry σ_i is called a *decent top* of σ. If σ has k descents, then we may write σ as the concatenation of $k+1$ increasing subsequences, which are called the *ascending runs* of σ.

In his 1990 Ph.D. thesis, Julian West [15] studied a function s that transforms permutations into permutations through the use of a vertical stack. We call the function s the *stack-sorting map*. Given an input permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$, the permutation $s(\pi)$ is computed by the following algorithm. At any point in time during the algorithm, if the next entry in the input permutation is larger than the entry at the top of the stack or if the stack is empty, the next entry in the input permutation is placed at the top of the stack. Otherwise, the entry at the top of the stack is annexed to the end of the growing output permutation. For example, $s(35214) = 31245$.

The following observation due to West provides an alternative recursive means of defining the stack-sorting map.

Fact 1.1. *Let π be a permutation of positive integers with largest entry n, and write $\pi = LnR$, where L (respectively, R) is the (possibly empty) substring of π to the left (respectively, right) of the entry n. Then $s(\pi) = s(L)s(R)n$.*

E-mail address: cdefant@ufl.edu.

As the name suggests, the purpose of the stack-sorting map is to sort a permutation π into the identity permutation $123\cdots n$. We have seen that $s(35214) = 31245$, so the image $s(\pi)$ of a permutation π is not always the identity permutation. Nevertheless, it follows easily from Fact 1.1 that $s^{n-1}(\pi) = 123\cdots n$ for any $\pi \in S_n$. Therefore, we can sort any permutation if we are allowed to use the stack iteratively. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let t be a positive integer. A permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is called *t-stack sortable* if $s^t(\pi) = 123\cdots n$. A permutation that is 1-stack sortable is simply called *sortable*. Let $W_t(n)$ denote the number of t-stack sortable permutations of length n. Let $W_t(n,k)$ denote the number of t-stack sortable permutations of length n with exactly k descents.

It is well-known that $W_1(n)$ is equal to C_n, the n^{th} Catalan number. In his dissertation, West conjectured that

$$W_2(n) = \frac{2}{(n+1)(2n+1)}\binom{3n}{n}. \tag{1}$$

Doron Zeilberger provided the first proof of this result two years later [16]. Subsequent combinatorial proofs [10, 11] of (1) provided bijections between 2-stack sortable permutations of length n and rooted nonseparable planar maps with $n+1$ edges, the latter of which Tutte had already enumerated [13]. In 1997, Cori, Jacquard, and Schaeffer [7] found a bijection between 2-stack sortable permutations of length n and the class of $\beta(1,0)$-trees. In [1], Bóna briefly calls the reader's attention to lattice paths that use the steps $(1,1)$, $(0,-1)$, and $(-1,0)$ and never leave the first quadrant. He notes that the number of such paths using $3n$ steps that start and end at $(0,0)$ is equal to $2^{2n-1}W_2(n)$ (see [4]), so he naturally inquires about the possibility of using this result to obtain a simple combinatorial proof of (1).

For any given $t \geq 3$, obtaining an explicit formula for $W_t(n)$ seems to be a highly formidable task. Indeed, the best known upper bound [3, Theorem 3.4] is given by $W_t(n) \leq (t+1)^{2n}$. Bóna [1] has conjectured the much stronger upper bound $W_t(n) \leq \binom{(t+1)n}{n}$. Recently, Úlfarsson has given a description of 3-stack sortable permutations in terms of the avoidance of mesh patterns and new types of patterns that he calls "decorated patterns" [14]. However, his description does not immediately lend itself to any means of enumerating 3-stack sortable permutations. We refer the reader to [1] and [3] for more thorough treatments of the history of stack-sorting algorithms.

West defined the *fertility* $F(\pi)$ of a permutation π to be the number of preimages of π under the stack-sorting map. Bousquet-Mélou [5] then defined a *sorted* permutation to be a permutation whose fertility is positive. Observe that the sortable permutations of length n are precisely the preimages of the identity permutation $123\cdots n$, so the fertitily of $123\cdots n$ is C_n. The $(t+1)$-stack sortable permutations are precisely the preimages of t-stack sortable permutations. Consequently, $W_{t+1}(n)$ is the sum of the fertilities of the t-stack sortable permutations of length n. These observations form the central motivation for this paper.

In [8], the author used a geometric construction of objects called *valid hook configurations* to give an expression for the fertility of any given permutations. The first goal of this paper is to translate that expression into a similar expression that relies on a certain set of compositions that we call *valid compositions*. In fact, for any permutation π and nonnegative integer m, we will be able to determine, in terms of valid compositions, the number $F(\pi, m)$ of permutations σ such that σ has m descents and $s(\sigma) = \pi$. We can also determine the number of permutations σ such that σ has m valleys and $s(\sigma) = \pi$ (a valley of $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n$ is an index $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $\sigma_i < \min\{\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_{i+1}\}$).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a discussion of decreasing binary plane trees and valid hooks configurations, introducing terminology that we will need in subsequent sections. In



FIGURE 1. A decreasing binary plane tree on [8]. The postorder reading of this tree is 12563748.

Section 3, we define the set of valid compositions of a permutation and show how to express $F(\pi)$ and $F(\pi, m)$ as a sum over the valid compositions of π. In the final section of the paper, we give a short combinatorial proof of an identity involving generalized Narayana numbers. This identity allows us to prove recursive upper bounds for the numbers $W_t(n)$ and $W_t(n, k)$. We then prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_3(n)} \leq 12.53296$$

(improving upon the best known upper bound of 16) and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_4(n)} \leq 21.97225$$

(improving upon the best known upper bound of 25).

2. Trees and Hooks

A decreasing binary plane tree is a rooted binary plane tree whose nodes are labeled with distinct positive integers such that the label of any non-root node is smaller than the label of its parent.

To read a decreasing binary plane tree in *postorder*, we first read the left subtree of the root, then the right subtree of the root, and finally the root. Each subtree is itself read in postorder. We let $P(\tau)$ denote the postorder reading of a decreasing binary plane tree τ.

Suppose Y is a collection of decreasing (not necessarily binary) plane trees and $\pi \in S_n$. In [8], the author asked for an expression for the number of trees in Y with postorder π. Using a geometric construction of objects called valid hook configurations, he gave such an expression for certain collections Y. There is a simple bijection [1] between the decreasing binary plane trees with postorder π and the preimages of π under s. Therefore, the author was able to apply this method to gain information about the preimages of π under s. We will restate the relevant definitions and results from that paper for easy reference.

Given a permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\dots\pi_n \in S_n$, we obtain a standard diagram for π by plotting the points of the form (i, π_i) in the plane. A *hook* in this diagram is the union of two line segments. One is a vertical line segment connecting a point (i, π_i) to a point (i, π_j), where $i < j$ and $\pi_i < \pi_j$. The second is a horizontal line segment connecting the points (i, π_j) and (j, π_j). One can think of drawing a hook by starting at the point (i, π_i), moving upward, and then turning right to meet with the point (j, π_j). The point (i, π_i) is the *southwest endpoint* of the hook while (j, π_j) is the *northeast endpoint* of the hook. We let ${}_eH$ and H^e denote the southwest and northeast endpoints, respectively, of the hook H.

Definition 2.1. Let $\pi \in S_n$. We say that an m-tuple $\mathscr{H} = (H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m)$ is a *valid hook configuration of* π if $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m$ are hooks in the diagram of π that satisfy the following properties.

(a) If ${}_eH_\ell = (i_\ell, \pi_{i_\ell})$ for each $\ell \in [m]$, then $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m$.
(b) If i is a descent of π, then $(i, \pi_i) = {}_eH_\ell$ for some $\ell \in [m]$.

(c) If $(j, \pi_j) = H_\ell^e$ for some $\ell \in [m]$, then there exist $\ell', \ell'' \in [m]$ such that the x-coordinate of ${}_eH_{\ell'}$ is a descent of π, $(j-1, \pi_{j-1}) = {}_eH_{\ell''}$, and $H_{\ell'}^e = H_{\ell''}^e = (j, \pi_j)$.
(d) If $\ell, \ell' \in [m]$, ${}_eH_\ell = (i, \pi_i)$, $H_\ell^e = (j, \pi_j)$, ${}_eH_{\ell'} = (i', \pi_{i'})$, $H_{\ell'}^e = (j', \pi_{j'})$, $\pi_j \leq \pi_{j'}$, and $|[i,j] \cap [i',j']| > 1$, then $[i,j] \subseteq [i',j']$.

Let $SW(\mathscr{H}) = \{{}_eH_1, {}_eH_2, \ldots, {}_eH_m\}$ and $NE(\mathscr{H}) = \{H_1^e, H_2^e, \ldots, H_m^e\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$ denote the set of valid hook configurations of π.

Notice that if $\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$, then each point in $NE(\mathscr{H})$ is the northeast endpoint of at least two hooks: one with southwest endpoint $(j-1, \pi_{j-1})$ and one with southwest endpoint (i, π_i) for some descent i of π. Hence, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.2. For $\pi \in S_n$, let $\mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$ be the set of valid hook configurations $\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$ in which each point in $NE(\mathscr{H})$ is the northeast endpoint of *exactly* two hooks.

There are some immediate consequences of the criteria in the above definitions that are useful to keep in mind. First, the only way that two hooks can intersect in exactly one point is if that point is the northeast endpoint of one of the hooks and the southwest endpoint of the other. Also, no entry in the diagram can lie above a hook. More formally, if H_ℓ is a hook with ${}_eH_\ell = (i, \pi_i)$ and $H_\ell^e = (j, \pi_j)$, then $\pi_k < \pi_j$ for all $k \in \{i+1, i+2, \ldots, j-1\}$. Indeed, suppose instead that $\pi_k > \pi_j$ for some $k \in \{i+1, i+2, \ldots, j-1\}$. Then π must have a descent $i' \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, j-1\}$ such that $\pi_{i'} > \pi_j$. According to criterion (b) in the above definition, $(i', \pi_{i'}) = {}_eH_{\ell'}$ for some hook $H_{\ell'}$. Let $H_{\ell'}^e = (j', \pi_{j'})$. Since $\pi_j < \pi_{i'} < \pi_{j'}$ and $[i', i'+1] \subseteq [i,j] \cap [i', j']$, condition (d) in the above definition states that we must have $[i,j] \subseteq [i', j']$. However, this is impossible because $i < i'$.

After drawing a diagram of a permutation π with a valid hook configuration $\mathscr{H} = (H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_m) \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$, we can color the diagram with $m+1$ colors $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m$ as follows. First, if ${}_eH_\ell = (i, \pi_i)$ and $H_\ell^e = (j, \pi_j)$, then we refer to the line segment connecting the points $(i + 1/2, \pi_j)$ and (j, π_j) as the *top part* of the hook H_ℓ. Assign H_ℓ the color c_ℓ for each $\ell \in [m]$. Color each point (k, π_k) as follows. Start at (k, π_k), and move directly upward until hitting the top part of a hook. Color (k, π_k) the same color as the hook that you hit. If you hit multiple hooks at once, use the color of the hook that was hit whose southwest endpoint if farthest to the right. If you do not hit the top part of any hook, give (k, π_k) the color c_0. Note that if $(k, \pi_k) = {}_eH_\ell$ for some $\ell \in m$, then we ignore the hook H_ℓ while moving upward from (k, π_k) to find the hook that is to lend its color to (k, π_k). Moreover, if $(k, \pi_k) \in NE(\mathscr{H})$, then we give (k, π_k) the color c_r, where r is the largest element of $[m]$ such that $(k, \pi_k) = H_r^e$.

Example 2.1. Figure 2 depicts the colored diagram obtained from a valid hook configuration $\mathscr{H}$ of the permutation $\pi = 2.7.3.5.9.10.11.4.8.1.6.12.13.14.15.16$. In this example, $NE(\mathscr{H}) = \{(7, 11), (13, 13), (15, 15)\}$. This valid hook configuration is an element of $\mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$ because each of the points in $NE(\mathscr{H})$ is the northeast endpoint of exactly two hooks.

Definition 2.3. If $\pi \in S_n$, then each valid hook configuration $\mathscr{H} = (H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_m) \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$ partitions $[n]$ into color classes. Let $Q_t(\mathscr{H})$ be the set of entries π_ℓ such that (ℓ, π_ℓ) is assigned the color c_t. Let $q_t(\mathscr{H}) = |Q_t(\mathscr{H})|$ so that $(q_0(\mathscr{H}), q_1(\mathscr{H}), \ldots, q_m(\mathscr{H}))$ is a composition of n into $m+1$ parts. Let $|\mathscr{H}| = m$ denote the number of hooks in the valid hook configuration $\mathscr{H}$.

Remark 2.1. Suppose $\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}(\pi)$ and $(j, \pi_j) \in NE(\mathscr{H})$. If (j, π_j) is given the color c_t in the colored diagram of π induced by $\mathscr{H}$, then $Q_t(\mathscr{H}) = \{\pi_j\}$ and $q_t(\mathscr{H}) = 1$. Indeed, the hook colored c_t is the hook with southwest endpoint $(j-1, \pi_{j-1})$ and northeast endpoint (j, π_j).

If $\mathscr{H}$ is a valid hook configuration of π, let $\Theta(\mathscr{H})$ be the set of all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, |\mathscr{H}|\}$ such that the color c_i is not used in the colored diagram induced by $\mathscr{H}$ to color a point in $NE(\mathscr{H})$. We let



FIGURE 2. The colored diagram arising from a valid hook configuration $\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$.

$|\widehat{\mathscr{H}}| = |\Theta(\mathscr{H})| - 1 = |\mathscr{H}| - |NE(\mathscr{H})|$. For example, the colors c_2, c_5, and c_6 (purple, pink, and teal) are used in Example 2.1 to colors the points in $NE(\mathscr{H})$. Therefore, in that example, we have $\Theta(\mathscr{H}) = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ and $|\widehat{\mathscr{H}}| = 3$. If $\Theta(\mathscr{H}) = \left\{i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{\widehat{|\mathscr{H}|}}\right\}$, where $i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{\widehat{|\mathscr{H}|}}$, then we let $\widehat{q}_t(\mathscr{H}) = q_{i_t}(\mathscr{H})$. In other words, the tuple $\left(\widehat{q}_0(\mathscr{H}), \widehat{q}_1(\mathscr{H}), \ldots, \widehat{q}_{\widehat{|\mathscr{H}|}}(\mathscr{H})\right)$ is obtained by starting with the tuple $\big(q_0(\mathscr{H}), q_1(\mathscr{H}), \ldots, q_{|\mathscr{H}|}(\mathscr{H})\big)$ and removing all of the coordinates $q_i(\mathscr{H})$ such that the color c_i is used to color a point in $NE(\mathscr{H})$.

Remark 2.2. If $\pi \in S_n$ has exactly k descents and $\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$, then there are exactly k elements of $NE(\mathscr{H})$, and there are $2k$ hooks in $\mathscr{H}$. Therefore, $|\widehat{\mathscr{H}}| = |\Theta(\mathscr{H})| - 1 = |\mathscr{H}| - |NE(\mathscr{H})| = 2k - k = k$.

Let $C_i = \frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}$ be the i^{th} Catalan number. Let $N(i,j) = \frac{1}{i}\binom{i}{j}\binom{i}{j-1}$ be a Narayana number. The following lemmas are Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.2, and Corollary 5.1 in [8].

Lemma 2.1. *If $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation with exactly k descents, then the number of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $s(\sigma) = \pi$ is given by*

$$F(\pi) = \sum_{\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)} \prod_{t=0}^{k} C_{\widehat{q}_t(\mathscr{H})}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *If $\pi \in S_n$ has exactly k descents, then the number of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ that have exactly m descents and satisfy $s(\sigma) = \pi$ is given by*

$$F(\pi, m) = \sum_{\substack{\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi) \\ j_0 + j_1 + \cdots + j_k = m-k}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(\widehat{q}_t(\mathscr{H}), j_t + 1),$$

where the numbers $j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k$ in the sum are nonnegative integers that sum to $m - k$.

Lemma 2.3. *If $\pi \in S_n$ has exactly k descents, then the number of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ that have exactly m valleys and satisfy $s(\sigma) = \pi$ is given by*

$$2^{n-2m+1} \sum_{\substack{\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi) \\ j_0 + j_1 + \cdots + j_k = m}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} \binom{\widehat{q}_t(\mathscr{H}) - 1}{2j_t - 2} C_{j_t - 1},$$

where the numbers $j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k$ in the sum are nonnegative integers that sum to m.

3. Valid Compositions

Throughout this section, let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n \in S_n$ be a permutation with exactly k descents. Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k$ be the descents of π in increasing order. We convene to let $d_0 = 0$ and $d_{k+1} = n$.

Any valid hook configuration in $\mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$ is uniquely determined by the k-tuple $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$, where b_i is the index such that (b_i, π_{b_i}) is the northeast endpoint of the hook whose southwest endpoint is (d_i, π_{d_i}). We call $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ the k-tuple corresponding to the valid hook configuration. For an explicit example, consider the permutation and the valid hook configuration in Example 2.1. This permutation has $k = 3$ descents, which are $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 7$, and $d_3 = 9$. The point $(d_1, \pi_{d_1}) = (2, 7)$ is the southwest endpoint of a hook whose northeast endpoint is $(7, 11)$, so $b_1 = 7$. Similarly, $b_2 = 15$ and $b_3 = 13$.

Let $\mathrm{Comp}_a(b)$ denote the set of all compositions of b into a parts. It follows from Remarks 2.1 and 2.2 that there is a map $\varphi\colon \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi) \to \mathrm{Comp}_{k+1}(n-k)$ given by

$$\varphi(\mathscr{H}) = (\widehat{q}_0(\mathscr{H}), \widehat{q}_1(\mathscr{H}), \ldots, \widehat{q}_k(\mathscr{H})). \tag{2}$$

The injectivity of φ, which we shall prove in the following lemma, will be the cornerstone for our subsequent proofs.

Lemma 3.1. *The map $\varphi\colon \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi) \to \mathrm{Comp}_{k+1}(n-k)$ defined by $\varphi(\mathscr{H}) = (\widehat{q}_0(\mathscr{H}), \ldots, \widehat{q}_k(\mathscr{H}))$ is injective.*

Proof. Suppose we are given the composition $\varphi(\mathscr{H}) = (\widehat{q}_0(\mathscr{H}), \widehat{q}_1(\mathscr{H}), \ldots, \widehat{q}_k(\mathscr{H}))$. Let $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ be the k-tuple corresponding to $\mathscr{H}$. In $\mathscr{H}$, let $\widehat{H}_i$ be the hook whose southwest endpoint is (d_i, π_{d_i}) and whose northeast endpoint is (b_i, π_{b_i}). We can determine the value of b_k by noting that $b_k = d_k + \widehat{q}_k(\mathscr{H}) + 1$. Indeed, $\widehat{H}_k$ must lie above exactly $\widehat{q}_k(\mathscr{H})$ points to the right of (d_k, π_{d_k}). Similarly, $\widehat{H}_{k-1}$ must lie above $\widehat{q}_{k-1}(\mathscr{H})$ points that do not lie below $\widehat{H}_k$ and are not (b_k, π_{b_k}). Therefore, b_{k-1} is uniquely determined. In general, b_ℓ is determined once we know $b_{\ell+1}, b_{\ell+2}, \ldots, b_k$. Consequently, $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ is uniquely determined by $\varphi(\mathscr{H})$. It follows that $\mathscr{H}$ is uniquely determined. □

Definition 3.1. Let $V(\pi) = \varphi(\mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi))$. We say that a composition in $V(\pi)$ is a *valid composition of π*.

In order to produce a means of determining whether or not a given permutation is sorted, Bousquet-Mélou introduced the notion of a *canonical tree* of a permutation [5]. She then showed that a permutation is sorted if and only if it has a canonical tree. Each sorted permutation has a unique canonical tree, and any two permutations whose canonical trees have the same shape have the same fertility. Because of this last observation, Bousquet-Mélou mentioned that it would be interesting to find a method for determining the fertility of a permutation from the shape of its canonical tree. This is precisely what we shall do. However, we will translate the notion of a canonical tree into the language of valid hook configurations by defining a *canonical valid hook configuration* $\mathscr{H}^* = (H_1^*, H_2^*, \ldots, H_{2k}^*) \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$.

The construction of $\mathscr{H}^*$ is quite simple. The idea is essentially to choose each northeast endpoint "minimally," although we need to be a bit careful when doing so. Implicit in what follows is the assumption that $\mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$ is nonempty (so each choice of a northeast endpoint will be possible). We are going to construct $\mathscr{H}^*$ by building its corresponding k-tuple, which we denote $\boldsymbol{b}^* = (b_1^*, b_2^*, \ldots, b_k^*)$.

We will define the entries of $\boldsymbol{b}^*$ in the order $b_k^*, b_{k-1}^*, \ldots, b_1^*$. Suppose we have already chosen $b_k^*, b_{k-1}^*, \ldots, b_{\ell+1}^*$ and that we now need to choose b_ℓ^*. For each $i \in \{\ell+1, \ell+2, \ldots, k\}$, let H_i^* be the hook with southwest endpoint (d_i, π_{d_i}) and northeast endpoint $(b_i^*, \pi_{b_i^*})$. Let Z_ℓ^* be the set of entries



FIGURE 3. The permutation $\pi = 2.7.3.5.9.10.11.4.8.1.6.12.13.14.15.16$ from Example 2.1 has a canonical valid hook configuration with corresponding k-tuple $\boldsymbol{b}^* = (9, 13, 12)$.

π_y to the right of π_{d_ℓ} in π that are not in the set $\{\pi_{b^*_{\ell+1}}, \pi_{b^*_{\ell+2}}, \ldots, \pi_{b^*_k}\}$ such that (y, π_y) does not lie below any of the hooks $H^*_{\ell+1}, H^*_{\ell+2}, \ldots, H^*_k$. Of the entries in Z^*_ℓ, let $\pi_{b^*_\ell}$ be the smallest one that is greater than π_{d_ℓ}. In particular, $\pi_{b^*_k}$ is the smallest entry that is greater than π_{d_k} and that appears to the right of π_{d_k} in π. We refer to the entries $b^*_1, b^*_2, \ldots, b^*_k$ in $\boldsymbol{b}^*$ as *canonical northeast endpoints*. Figure 3 shows the canonical valid hook configuration of the permutation π from Example 2.1.

Remark 3.1. It is possible to massage the ideas in [8] in order to obtain a one-to-one correspondence between decreasing binary plane trees with postorder π and pairs $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{T})$, where $\mathscr{H} \in \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$ and $\mathscr{T}$ is a certain tuple of decreasing binary plane trees. If $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}^*$ is the canonical valid hook configuration of π and the trees in the tuple $\mathscr{T}$ are chosen so that every edge in every tree is a right edge, then the corresponding tree with postorder π will be the canonical tree that Bousquet-Mélou introduced.

With φ as in (2), let $\varphi(\mathscr{H}^*) = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k)$. Suppose each entry $\pi_{b^*_i}$ is in the e_i^{th} ascending run of π. In other words, we define e_i by requiring that $d_{e_i-1} < b^*_i \leq d_{e_i}$ (again, d_j is the j^{th} descent of π while we use the conventions $d_0 = 0$ and $d_{k+1} = n$). Let $\alpha_j = |\{i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \colon e_i = j\}|$ denote the number of canonical northeast endpoints $(b^*_i, \pi_{b^*_i})$ such that $\pi_{b^*_i}$ is in the j^{th} ascending run of π. For example, $\alpha_1 = 0$ because if $(b^*_i, \pi^*_{b_i})$ is any canonical northeast endpoint of π, then we must have $b^*_i > d_1$.

It is possible to show that a composition $(q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_k)$ of $n-k$ into $k+1$ parts is a valid composition of π if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(a) For any $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$,
$$\sum_{j=m}^{e_m-1} q_j \geq \sum_{j=m}^{e_m-1} \mu_j.$$
(b) If $m, p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ are such that $m \leq p \leq e_m - 2$, then
$$\sum_{j=m}^{p} q_j \geq d_{p+1} - d_m - \sum_{j=m+1}^{p+1} \alpha_j.$$

However, the proof of this fact is quite technical. Because we will not end up needing this result in any subsequent arguments, we omit its proof.

We now have all the ingredients necessary for our main result. Let $F(\pi)$ denote the number of preimages of π under s, and let $F(\pi, m)$ denote the number of such preimages with exactly m descents. Moreover, recall that C_i and $N(i, j)$ denote Catalan and Narayana numbers, respectively.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\pi \in S_n$ be a permutation with exactly k descents. Let $V(\pi)$ be as in Definition 3.1. We have*

$$F(\pi) = \sum_{(q_0,q_1,\ldots,q_k)\in V(\pi)} \prod_{i=0}^{k} C_{q_i}.$$

For any nonnegative integer m,

$$F(\pi, m) = \sum_{\substack{(q_0,q_1,\ldots,q_k)\in V(\pi)\\ j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_k=m-k}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(q_t, j_t + 1).$$

Furthermore, the number of preimages of π under s with exactly m valleys is given by

$$2^{n-2m+1} \sum_{\substack{(q_0,q_1,\ldots,q_k)\in V(\pi)\\ j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_k=m}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} \binom{q_t - 1}{2j_t - 2} C_{j_t-1}.$$

In these sums, $j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k$ are nonnegative integers.

Proof. Lemma 3.1 and Definition 3.1 tell us that the function φ defined in (2) provides a bijection between $\mathcal{H}_{\{0,2\}}(\pi)$ and $V(\pi)$. The result is then immediate from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3. □

Corollary 3.1. *If $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation with exactly k descents and m is a nonnegative integer, then*

$$F(\pi) \leq \sum_{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_k=n-k} \prod_{t=0}^{k} C_{i_t} = \frac{2k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n}$$

and

$$F(\pi, m) \leq \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_k=n-k\\ j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_k=m-k}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(i_t, j_t + 1),$$

where the sums range over compositions $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ of $n-k$ and the second sum also ranges over weak compositions $(j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ of $m-k$.

Proof. The inequalities follow immediately from Theorem 3.1. Let $C(x) = \dfrac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}$. It is straightforward to show that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \left[\sum_{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_k=n-k} \prod_{t=0}^{k} C_{i_t} \right] x^n = x^{2k+1} C(x)^{2k+2}.$$

Catalan proved [6] that the coefficient of x^m in $C(x)^r$ is $[x^m]C(x)^r = \dfrac{r}{m+r}\binom{2m+r-1}{m}$, so it follows that

$$\sum_{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_k=n-k} \prod_{t=0}^{k} C_{i_t} = [x^{n-2k-1}]C(x)^{2k+2} = \frac{2k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n}.$$

□

We end this section with a remark about a certain symmetry in the numbers $F(\pi, m)$. Fix a valid composition $(q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_k)$. If we let $j_t' = q_t - j_t - 1$, then we find that

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_t \\ =m-k}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(q_t, j_t+1) = \sum_{\substack{j_0'+j_1'+\cdots+j_t' \\ =(n-m-1)-k}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(q_t, j_t+1) = \sum_{\substack{j_0'+j_1'+\cdots+j_t' \\ =(n-m-1)-k}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(q_t, j_t'+1).$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $F(\pi, m) = F(\pi, n-m-1)$ for any integer m. This is a result originally due to Miklós Bóna [2].

4. 3-Stack & 4-Stack Sortable Permutations

As mentioned in the introduction, for any integer $t \geq 3$, the best known upper bound for the number $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_t(n)}$ is $(t+1)^2$. We remark that it is known that this limit actually exists because a sum of t-stack sortable permutations is t-stack sortable. Our goal in this section is to use Corollary 3.1 to improve this bound for $t = 3$ and $t = 4$. Before we do so, we will need to take a short detour to state a lemma regarding generalized Narayana numbers, which we define by

$$N_k(n, r) = \frac{k+1}{n}\binom{n}{r+k}\binom{n}{r-1}.$$

Note that $N_0(n, r) = N(n, r)$.

The proof of the following lemma, although perhaps combinatorially interesting in its own right, does not have any immediate relation to permutations or stack sorting. For this reason, the reader may bypass it without fear of missing any details that are crucial to our development.

Consider the set of lattice paths that use the steps $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(-1, 0)$, and $(0, -1)$ and never pass below the x-axis. Let $L_p(u, v)$ be the set of all such paths that start at $(0, 0)$, end at (u, v), and use exactly p steps. It is known [12] that

$$N_k(n, r) = |L_{n-1}(2r - n + k - 1, k)|. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let*

$$E(n, k, \ell) = \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_k=n \\ j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_k=\ell}} \prod_{t=0}^{k} N(i_t, j_t+1),$$

where the sum ranges over all compositions $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ of n and all weak compositions $(j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ of ℓ. We have

$$E(n, k, \ell) = N_k(n, \ell+1).$$

Proof. Choose a composition $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ of n and a weak composition $(j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ of ℓ. For each $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$, form a lattice path $\mathscr{P}_t$ in $L_{i_t-1}(2j_t - i_t + 1, 0)$. Equation (3) tells us that the number of ways to choose each path $\mathscr{P}_t$ is $N(i_t, j_t+1)$, so the number of ways to make all of these choices is $E(n, k, \ell)$.

Set $\mathscr{P}_0' = \mathscr{P}_0$. For each $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, translate the path $\mathscr{P}_t$ to a new path $\mathscr{P}_t'$ so that the starting point of $\mathscr{P}_t'$ is one unit above the endpoint of $\mathscr{P}_{t-1}'$. Attach the endpoint of $\mathscr{P}_{t-1}'$ to the starting point of $\mathscr{P}_t'$ with a $(0, 1)$ step. This results in a lattice path P in $L_{n-1}(2\ell - n + k + 1, k)$. The endpoint of $\mathscr{P}_t'$ is the last point in P whose y-coordinate is t. Therefore, if we are given any path in

$L_{n-1}(2\ell - n + k + 1, k)$, we can determine exactly which composition $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, weak composition $(j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k)$, and paths $\mathscr{P}_0, \mathscr{P}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{P}_k$ were used to obtain it in this fashion. This shows that

$$E(n, k, \ell) = |L_{n-1}(2\ell - n + k + 1, k)| = N_k(n, \ell + 1).$$

□

We can now use Lemma 4.1 in conjunction with Corollary 3.1 to see that if π is a permutation of length n with k descents and m is a nonnegative integer, then

$$F(\pi, m) \leq N_k(n - k, m - k + 1) = \frac{k+1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{m+1}\binom{n-k}{m-k}. \tag{4}$$

We arrive at the following recursive upper bounds for the numbers $W_t(n)$ and $W_t(n, m)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 1$ be integers, and let m be a nonnegative integer. We have*

$$W_{t+1}(n) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{2k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n} W_t(n-1, k)$$

and

$$W_{t+1}(n, m) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{k+1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{m+1}\binom{n-k}{m-k} W_t(n-1, k).$$

Proof. Let $Y_t(n, k)$ denote the set of t-stack sortable permutations in S_n that have exactly k descents and that have last entry n. A permutation $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}n$ is in $Y_t(n, k)$ if and only if $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}$ is a t-stack sortable permutation in S_{n-1} with exactly k descents. Therefore, $|Y_t(n, k)| = W_t(n-1, k)$. Recall that a permutation is said to be sorted if it is in the image of s. Observe that the last entry of any sorted permutation in S_n must be n. Consequently,

$$W_{t+1}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in Y_t(n,k)} F(\sigma) \tag{5}$$

and

$$W_{t+1}(n, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in Y_t(n,k)} F(\sigma, m). \tag{6}$$

It follows from (5) and Corollary 3.1 that

$$W_{t+1}(n) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n} |Y_t(n, k)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{2k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n} W_t(n-1, k),$$

where k ranges from 0 to $\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ in the second sum because $\binom{2n-2k-1}{n} = 0$ when $k \geq n/2$. It follows from (4) and (6) that

$$W_{t+1}(n, m) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k+1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{m+1}\binom{n-k}{m-k} |Y_t(n, k)| = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{k+1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{m+1}\binom{n-k}{m-k} W_t(n-1, k),$$

where k ranges from 0 to m in the second sum because $\binom{n-k}{m-k} = 0$ when $k > m$. □

We are now able to prove our upper bound for $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_3(n)}$. We will make use of the formula

$$W_2(n,k) = \frac{1}{(k+1)(2k+1)}\binom{2n-k-1}{k}\binom{n+k}{n-k}, \tag{7}$$

which appears as Corollary 9 in [9].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\omega \approx 0.28839$ be the unique real root of the polynomial $4x^3 - 3x^2 + 4x - 1$. We have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_3(n)} \leq \frac{(2-\omega)^{2-\omega}(1+\omega)^{1+\omega}}{\omega^{\omega}(1-\omega)^{1-\omega}(2\omega)^{2\omega}(1-2\omega)^{1-2\omega}} \approx 12.53296.$$

Proof. Fix an integer $n \geq 4$, and let K be an integer in the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor\}$ that maximizes

$$\frac{2}{(n+1)(2K+1)}\binom{2n-2K-1}{n}\binom{2n-K-3}{K}\binom{n+K-1}{n-K-1}.$$

Let $y = K/n$. Combining (7) with the first inequality in Theorem 4.1 (with $t = 2$) yields

$$W_3(n) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{2}{(n+1)(2k+1)}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n}\binom{2n-k-3}{k}\binom{n+k-1}{n-k-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(2K+1)}\binom{2n-2K-1}{n}\binom{2n-K-3}{K}\binom{n+K-1}{n-K-1} < n^2 \frac{(2n-K-1)!(n+K-1)!}{n!K!(n-K)!(2K)!(n-2K)!}. \tag{8}$$

With the convention $0^0 = 1$, the elementary bounds

$$r^r e^{-r} \leq r! \leq (r+1)^{r+1} e^{-r}, \tag{9}$$

hold for all nonnegative integers r. Utilizing these bounds, we can deduce from (8) that

$$W_3(n) \leq n^2 \frac{e^2(2n-K)^{2n-K}(n+K)^{n+K}}{n^n K^K (n-K)^{n-K}(2K)^{2K}(n-2K)^{n-2K}} = e^2 n^2 \left[\frac{(2-y)^{2-y}(1+y)^{1+y}}{y^y(1-y)^{1-y}(2y)^{2y}(1-2y)^{1-2y}}\right]^n.$$

The function $f\colon [0, 1/2) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = \frac{(2-x)^{2-x}(1+x)^{1+x}}{x^x(1-x)^{1-x}(2x)^{2x}(1-2x)^{1-2x}}$$

(again, we use the convention $0^0 = 1$) attains its maximum at $x = \omega$, so

$$\sqrt[n]{W_3(n)} \leq \sqrt[n]{e^2 n^2} f(\omega).$$

□

The derivation of our upper bound for $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_4(n)}$ is a bit more involved than that of $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_3(n)}$, so we will require the following lemmas. The first provides an upper bound on the numbers $W_3(n, m)$, which we will need in order to use the first inequality in Theorem 4.1 with $t = 3$.

Lemma 4.2. *For $z \in \mathbb{R}$, let*

$$p_1(z) = -7 + 30z - 24z^2 - 14z^3 + 12z^4 - 6z^5 + 2z^6$$

and

$$p_2(z) = 81 - 324z + 1188z^2 - 1404z^3 - 216z^4 + 1404z^5 - 972z^6 + 432z^7 - 108z^8.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{Q}(z) = \frac{1}{3}(z^2 - z + 1) - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}(3 - (z^2 - z + 1)^2)}{3\sqrt[3]{p_1(z) + \sqrt{p_2(z)}}} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{p_1(z) + \sqrt{p_2(z)}}}{3\sqrt[3]{2}}.$$

For $0 \le u \le v \le 1/2$, let

$$\xi(u,v) = \frac{(2-u)^{2-u}(1+u)^{1+u}}{4u^{3u}v^v(1-v-u)^{1-v-u}(v-u)^{v-u}(1-v)^{1-v}(1-u)^{1-u}}.$$

Let $m \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$ be integers with $m \le n/2$, and put $y = m/n$. We have

$$W_3(n,m) < e^4 n^3 \xi(\mathcal{Q}(y), y)^n.$$

Proof. If $m = 0$, then $y = 0$ and $\xi(\mathcal{Q}(y), y) = \xi(0,0) = 1$, so the desired result holds. Therefore, assume $m > 0$.

Let K be the integer in the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ that maximizes

$$\frac{1}{(n-K)(2K+1)}\binom{n-K}{m+1}\binom{n-K}{m-K}\binom{2n-K-1}{K}\binom{n+K}{n-K},$$

and let $x = K/n$. Using (7) and the second inequality in Theorem 4.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} W_3(n,m) &\le \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(n-k)(2k+1)}\binom{n-k}{m+1}\binom{n-k}{m-k}\binom{2n-k-3}{k}\binom{n+k-1}{n-k-1} \\ &\le \frac{m+1}{(n-K)(2K+1)}\binom{n-K}{m+1}\binom{n-K}{m-K}\binom{2n-K-3}{K}\binom{n+K-1}{n-K-1} \\ &< n^3 \frac{(n-K-1)!(2n-K-1)!(n+K-1)!}{m!(n-m-K)!(m-K)!(n-m)!K!(2n-2K)!(2K)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The inequalities in (9) now allow us to deduce from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} W_3(n,m) &< e^4 n^3 \frac{(n-K)^{n-K}(2n-K)^{2n-K}(n+K)^{n+K}}{m^m(n-m-K)^{n-m-K}(m-K)^{m-K}(n-m)^{n-m}K^K(2n-2K)^{2n-2K}(2K)^{2K}} \\ &= \frac{e^4 n^3 (2n-K)^{2n-K}(n+K)^{n+K}}{4^n K^{3K} m^m (n-m-K)^{n-m-K}(m-K)^{m-K}(n-m)^{n-m}(n-K)^{n-K}} = e^4 n^3 \xi(x,y)^n. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that $\xi(x,y) \le \xi(\mathcal{Q}(y), y)$. It is straightforward to show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\log(\xi(u,y)) = \log\left(\frac{(1-u^2)(1-y-u)(y-u)}{u^3(2-u)}\right)$$

when $0 < u < y$. Therefore, $\xi(u,y)$ is increasing (respectively, decreasing) as a function of u if and only if the polynomial $p_y(u) = (1-u^2)(1-y-u)(y-u) - u^3(2-u)$ is positive (respectively, negative). We have

$$p_y(u) = -u^3 + (y^2 - y + 1)u^2 - u - y^2 + y.$$

Using the fact that $0 < y \le 1/2$, one may easily verify that $p_y'(u) < 0$ for all real u. Consequently, $p_y(u)$ has exactly one real root c_y. Because $p_y(y) < 0 < p_y(0)$, we must have $0 < c_y < y$. Furthermore, the maximum value of $\xi(u,y)$ for $0 < u < y$ occurs when $u = c_y$. Mathematica says that $c_y = \mathcal{Q}(y)$, so the lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.3. *For $v \in (0, 1/2)$, let $\mathcal{Q}(v)$ be as in the preceding lemma. If $v \in (0.35, 1/2)$, then $\mathcal{Q}(v) < 4v/5$. If $v \in [0.22, 0.35]$, then $\mathcal{Q}(v) < 9v/10$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{Q}(v)$ is the unique real root of the strictly decreasing polynomial

$$p_v(u) = -u^3 + (v^2 - v + 1)u^2 - u - v^2 + v.$$

If $v \in (0.35, 1/2)$, then one may easily verify that $p_v(4v/5) < 0$. Similarly, one may show that $p_v(9v/10) < 0$ if $v \in [0.22, 0.35]$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ and ξ be as in Lemma 4.2. Define a function $h\colon [0,1/2) \to \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$h(v) = \xi(\mathcal{Q}(v), v)\frac{(2-2v)^{2-2v}}{(1-2v)^{1-2v}}.$$

We have $h(v) < 21.97225$ for all $v \in [0,1/2)$.

Proof. One may show that

$$\frac{d}{dv}\log(h(v)) = \log\left(\frac{(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(1-2v)^2}{4v(1-v)(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right) + \mathcal{Q}'(v)\log\left(\frac{(1-\mathcal{Q}(v)^2)(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}{\mathcal{Q}(v)^3(2-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right).$$

Recall from the preceding proof that $\mathcal{Q}(v)$ is a root of the polynomial

$$p_v(u) = (1-u^2)(1-v-u)(v-u) - u^3(2-u).$$

This implies that

$$\log\left(\frac{(1-\mathcal{Q}(v)^2)(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}{\mathcal{Q}(v)^3(2-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right) = 0,$$

so

$$\frac{d}{dv}\log(h(v)) = \log\left(\frac{(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(1-2v)^2}{4v(1-v)(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right).$$

If $v \in (0, 0.22)$, then

$$\log\left(\frac{(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(1-2v)^2}{4v(1-v)(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right) \geq \log\left(\frac{(1-2v)^3}{4v^2(1-v)}\right) > 0$$

because $0 \leq \mathcal{Q}(v) \leq v$. If $v \in (0.35, 1/2)$, then we may use Lemma 4.3 to see that

$$\log\left(\frac{(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(1-2v)^2}{4v(1-v)(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right) \leq \log\left(\frac{(1-2v)^2}{4v(v-4v/5)}\right) < 0.$$

This shows that $\log(h(v))$ is increasing for $v \in (0, 0.22)$ and decreasing for $v \in (0.35, 1/2)$, so the maximum value of $\log(h(v))$ occurs when $v \in [0.22, 0.35]$.

For $v \in [0.22, 0.35]$, we may use Lemma 4.3 to find that

$$\frac{d}{dv}\log(h(v)) = \log\left(\frac{(1-v-\mathcal{Q}(v))(1-2v)^2}{4v(1-v)(v-\mathcal{Q}(v))}\right) \leq \log\left(\frac{(1-2v)^2}{4v(v-9v/10)}\right) < 3.$$

Consider the set $\mathcal{A} = \{0.22 + 0.13(n/10^4)\colon n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 10^4\}\}$. Mathematica says that the largest value of $\log(h(v))$ for all v in the finite set $\mathcal{A}$ is 3.0894788.... Therefore,

$$\max_{v\in[0.22,0.35]} \log(h(v)) < \left(\max_{v\in[0.22,0.35]} \frac{d}{dv}\log(h(v))\right)(1/10^4) + 3.08948 < 3.08978.$$

As a consequence, we have $h(v) < e^{3.08978} < 21.97225$ for all $v \in (0, 1/2)$. □

Theorem 4.3. *We have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{W_4(n)} \leq 21.97225.$$

Proof. Fix an integer $n \geq 6$, and let m be an integer in the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor\}$ that maximizes

$$\frac{2m+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2m-1}{n} W_3(n-1, m).$$

Let $y = m/n$. Lemma 4.2 and the first inequality in Theorem 4.1 (with $t = 3$) tell us that

$$W_4(n) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{2k+2}{n+1}\binom{2n-2k-1}{n} W_3(n-1,k) \leq (m+1)\binom{2n-2m-1}{n} e^4(n-1)^3\xi(Q(y),y)^{n-1}$$

$$= e^4(n-1)^3(n-2m)(m+1)\frac{(2n-2m-1)!}{n!(n-2m)!}\xi(Q(y),y)^{n-1}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{(2n-2m-1)!}{n!(n-2m)!} \leq e\frac{(2n-2m)^{2n-2m}}{n^n(n-2m)^{n-2m}} = e\left[\frac{(2-y)^{2-y}}{(1-2y)^{1-2y}}\right]^n$$

by (9). It follows that

$$W_4(n) \leq e^5(n-1)^3(n-2m)(m+1)\xi(\mathcal{Q}(y),y)^{n-1}\left[\frac{(2-y)^{2-y}}{(1-2y)^{1-2y}}\right]^n$$

$$= \frac{e^5}{\xi(\mathcal{Q}(y),y)}(n-1)^3(n-2m)(m+1)h(y)^n,$$

where h is the function defined in Lemma 4.4. Lemma 4.4 now implies the theorem because $y \in [0,1/2)$. □

5. Concluding Remarks

We have attempted to minimize the computations involved in the proofs of the lemmas preluding Theorem 4.3. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to obtain a less computational method for proving this theorem. We acknowledge that it is likely possible (yet probably cumbersome) to use the same techniques exploited in the preceding section to obtain upper bounds for $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{W_t(n)}$ for relatively small values of $t \geq 5$.

For a composition $q = (q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_k) \in \mathrm{Comp}_{k+1}(n-k)$, let $\mathcal{M}(q)$ denote the set of permutations π such that $q \in V(\pi)$. Let $\mathcal{W}_t(n)$ denote the set of t-stack sortable permutations of length n, and let $M_t(q)$ be the number of t-stack sortable permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{M}(q)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$W_{t+1}(n) = \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{W}_t(n)} F(\pi) = \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{W}_t(n)} \sum_{\substack{(q_0,q_1,\ldots,q_k)\\ \in V(\pi)}} \prod_{i=0}^{k} C_{q_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{q=(q_0,q_1,\ldots,q_k)\\ \in \mathrm{Comp}_{k+1}(n-k)}} M_t(q)\prod_{i=0}^{k} C_{q_i}.$$

For this reason, the evaluation of $M_t(q)$ for various compositions q could prove instrumental in the search for explicit formulas for $W_{t+1}(n)$ when $t \geq 2$. In particular, any significant results concerning values of $M_2(q)$, the number of 2-stack sortable permutations π for which $q \in V(\pi)$, would be of great interest.

6. Acknowledgments

The work was funded by the University Scholars Program at the University of Florida. The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to Miklós Bóna for introducing the author to the stack-sorting algorithm and providing several useful comments toward the improvement of this manuscript's presentation.

References

[1] Bóna, Miklós, Combinatorics of permutations. CRC Press, 2012.
[2] Bóna, Miklós, Symmetry and unimodality in t-stack sortable permutations. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 98.1 (2002): 201–209.
[3] Bóna, Miklós, A survey of stack-sorting disciplines, Electron. J. Combin. 9.2 (2003): 16.
[4] Bousquet-Mlou, Mireille, Counting walks in the quarter plane, Mathematics and Computer Science II. Birkhuser Basel, (2002), 49–67.

[5] Bousquet-Melou, Mireille, Sorted and/or sortable permutations, Discrete Math., 225 (2000), no. 1-3, 25-50.
[6] Catalan E., Sur les nombres de Segner, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 1, (1887), 190-201.
[7] Cori, R., Jacquard B., and Schaeffer, G., Description trees for some families of planar maps, Proceedings of the 9th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, (1997).
[8] Defant, Colin, Postorder Preimages, Submitted, (2016).
[9] Dulucq, S., Gire, S., and Guibert, O., A combinatorial proof of J. West's conjecture, Discrete Math., 187.1 (1998): 71–96.
[10] Dulucq, S., Gire, S., and West, J. Permutations with forbidden subsequences and nonseparable planar maps, Discrete Math., 153.1 (1996): 85–103.
[11] Goulden, I., and West, J. Raney paths and a combinatorial relationship between rooted nonseparable planar maps and two-stack-sortable permutations, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A., 75.2 (1996): 220–242.
[12] Guy, Richard K., Catwalks, sandsteps and Pascal pyramids, Journal of Integer Sequences 3.00.1 (2000): 6.
[13] Tutte, W. A census of planar maps, Canad. J. Math, 15.2 (1963): 249–271.
[14] Úlfarsson, H. Describing West-3-stack-sortable permutations with permutation patterns, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 67 (2012): B67d.
[15] West, Julian, Permutations with restricted subsequences and stack-sortable permutations, Ph.D. Thesis, MIT, 1990.
[16] Zeilberger, Doron, A proof of Julian Wests conjecture that the number of two-stack-sortable permutations of length n is $2(3n)!/((n+1)!(2n+1)!)$, Discrete Math. 102 (1992) 85–93.